STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION

DW 08-098

In the Matter of: Aquarion Water Co. Permanent Rate Proceeding

Direct Testimony

of

James J. Cunningham Jr.

- 1 Q. Please state your name, current position and business address.
- 2 A. My name is James J. Cunningham Jr. and I am employed by the New Hampshire
- Public Utilities Commission (Commission) as a Utility Analyst IV. My business
- 4 address is 21 S. Fruit Street, Suite 10, Concord New Hampshire, 03301.

5

- 6 Q. Please summarize your educational and professional background.
- 7 A. I am a graduate of Bentley College, Waltham, Massachusetts, and I hold a
- 8 Bachelor of Science-Accounting Degree. I joined the Commission in 1988 and
- 9 currently hold the position of Utility Analyst IV. In 1995, I completed the
- 10 NARUC Annual Regulatory Studies Program at Michigan State University,
- sponsored by the National Association of Regulatory Utility Commissioners. In
- 12 1998, I completed the Depreciation Studies Program, sponsored by the Society of
- Depreciation Professionals, Washington, D.C. After completing the Depreciation
- Studies Program, I worked on a number of depreciation related cases filed with
- the New Hampshire Commission and I have provided direct testimony to the
- 16 Commission pertaining to Depreciation Studies filed by New Hampshire
- regulated Water, Natural Gas and Electric Companies. In 2000, I graduated from
- the State of New Hampshire Certified Public Managers Program.
- 19 Prior to joining the Commission I was employed by the General Electric
- 20 Company. While at GE, I graduated from the Corporate Financial Management
- Training Program and I held assignments in General Accounting, Government
- Accounting & Contracts and Financial Analysis.
- I am a member of the Society of Depreciation Professionals (SDP).

1	Q.	What is the purpose of your testimony?
2	A.	My testimony provides recommendations on depreciation and amortization
3		expense.
4		
5	Q.	Please summarize your recommendations for depreciation and amortization
6		expenses.
7	A.	In summary, my recommendation for depreciation and amortization expense is
8		\$826,387, a reduction of \$80,021 from the amount proposed. See Attachment
9		JJC-1, Schedule 4. My recommendation for depreciation expense is based on the
10		Whole-Life Technique ¹ and amounts to \$721,549, a reduction of \$44,721 from
11		the amount proposed. See Attachment JJC-1, Schedule 1. My recommendation
12		for amortization expense is \$104,838, a reduction of \$35,300 from the amount
13		proposed.
14		
15	Q.	Why are you recommending a reduction to Aquarion's proposed
16		depreciation expense?
17	A.	There are three reasons for my recommended reduction. The first pertains to
18		plant balances, the second pertains to average service lives and the third pertains
19		to net salvage rates.
20		
21	Q.	Please explain your recommended change to plant balances.

¹ The formula for calculating depreciation accrual rates using the Whole-Life Technique is as follows:

1-Net Salvage Rate (NSR)

Average Service Life (ASL)

1	A.	I recommend certain reductions to plant balances based on the NHPUC Audit
2		Report as follows: Plant Account 314 – Wells & Springs is reduced \$183,784;
3		and, Plant Account 343 - Transmission & Distribution Mains is reduced
4		\$142,794. ²
5		
6	Q.	Please explain your recommended change to Aquarion's proposed average
7		service lives.
8	A.	My recommendation extends the average service life for Account 390 – General
9		Plant Structures and Improvements from 35 years to 40 years. I recommend this
10		change in order to reflect consistency with the average service lives proposed for
11		all other structures and improvements. Specifically, the Company is proposing a
12		40-year average service life for structures and improvements for Source of
13		Supply, Pumping Plant, Water Treatment Plant and Transmission & Distribution
14		Plant.
15		
16	Q.	Please summarize your recommended changes to negative net salvage.
17	A.	I recommend a reduction of five percentage points to proposed negative net
18		salvage for several plant accounts: Account 314 – Wells and Springs, Account
19		326 - Diesel Pumping Equipment and Account 332 - Water Treatment.
20		
21	Q.	Why do you recommend a reduction in negative net salvage for these plant
22		accounts?

 $^{^2}$ See Attachment JJC-2, NHPUC Audit Report dated June 2, 2009, Audit Issue #1 and Audit Issue #3.

Negative net salvage arises at retirement, when cost of removal is greater than gross salvage. The Company proposes to increase negative net salvage rates for each of these plant accounts from zero to negative ten percent; but, the Company is not able to provide any historical data for the past ten years (1998-2008) to support its proposed increase. I believe that some provision for negative net salvage is appropriate; however, due to the lack of supporting documentation, I'm recommending only half of the proposed increase, or negative five percent at this time.

Α.

Q. Do you have any other recommendations with respect to net salvage?

A. Yes. I recommend a change for Account 391 – Office Furniture & Equipment. I noticed that the Company proposed zero net salvage for this account. However, I believe it's reasonable to expect some *positive* net salvage at the time of retirement. Positive net salvage arises at retirement when gross salvage is greater than cost of removal. Therefore, I'm recommending a nominal net salvage rate of positive three percent. By way of comparison to other New Hampshire Water Utilities, I note that the Commission's currently approved net salvage rate for this account for Pennichuck Water Works (PWW) is three percent.³

Q. Do you have any other comments pertaining to net salvage?

Yes. During the course of my review, I learned that the Company has not properly accounted for cost of removal. It appears that, during the period 1999 to 2008, the Company did not segregate the cost of removal and recorded cost of

³ Reference Docket No. DW 06-073.

removal as part of the new asset. This practice was uncovered by the Company's depreciation consultant, Mr. Jay W. Shutt, PE, during the preparation of the Depreciation Study. Specifically, Mr. Shutt noted that the Company recorded costs of removal as a charge to plant-in-service, rather than as a charge to accumulated depreciation reserves. This practice resulted in the overstatement of proposed plant-in-service and the overstatement of proposed depreciation expense. The amount of the overstatement of plant is not known and, according to the Company, is not possible to provide. Given that the amount of overstatement to plant is not known and cannot be calculated, I have not made any adjustments to reduce depreciation expense. However, I'd note that, going forward, the Company is implementing procedures to segregate and account for the cost of removal.

Q. Please explain your recommendation for amortization expense.

A. My recommendation for amortization expense has three components:

16 organization costs (account 301) and other water source plant (account 317);

17 depreciation reserve variances; and, CIAC. With respect to organization costs

18 (account 301), the Company is proposing no depreciation or amortization

expense. I recommend \$885 in amortization expense. I believe that organization

_

⁴ See Attachment JJC-3, Company response to Staff data requests 2-1 and 2-2. Staff requested a schedule summarizing the original cost, gross salvage and cost of removal by plant account for the plant retired during the 1999-2008 time period. In response, the Company indicated that it was not segregating gross salvage and cost of removal during the 1999-2008 time period; hence, it was not possible to provide the requested schedule.

⁵ See Attachment JJC-3, Company response to Staff data request 2-2 which indicates that the Company is implementing procedures that will segregate gross salvage and cost of removal going forward.

costs should be amortized over a term of twenty years. The cost basis is \$17,700.⁶ Therefore, with a term of twenty years, my testimony recommends an amortization amount of \$885. With respect to other water source plant (account 317), I recommend amortization accounting, with a term of twenty years. By comparison, the Company is proposing depreciation expense based on an average service life of twenty years.⁷ Since the amortization term and the average service life are both twenty years, the amounts are the same. However, consistent with past practice and given that the assets are not tangible assets, I recommend that the Company utilize amortization accounting.

Q. What is your recommendation for Amortization of Depreciation Reserve Variances and how does it compare to the Company's proposal?

A. I have analyzed the depreciation reserves accumulated through the end of the test year, March 31, 2008, and calculate that Aquarion has a *deficit* in its depreciation reserve account amounting to \$612,111. A deficit arises when historical accumulated depreciation reserves are less than the reserves that would have been accumulated had the Company used the updated accrual rates. To cure this deficit, I'm recommending an amortization adjustment to increase depreciation reserves over a ten-year period, or \$61,211 per year. I believe that a ten-year amortization is reasonable because it is consistent with the generally accepted practice of updating depreciation studies every five to ten years.

⁶ See Attachment JJC-4, Shutt Depreciation Study, Table 5-1.

⁷ See Attachment JJC-4, Shutt Depreciation Study, Table 5-1.

1		By comparison, the Depreciation Study prepared by the Company's consultant,
2		Mr. Shutt, indicates that Aquarion has accumulated a deficit of \$973,963.8 To
3		cure this deficit, Aquarion proposes an amortization adjustment to increase the
4		depreciation reserves over a ten-year period by \$97,396 per year.
5		Based on the above, my recommendation yields an amortization adjustment that is
6		\$36,185 less than proposed by Aquarion (i.e. \$97,396 - \$61,211 = \$36,185).
7		Please refer to Attachment JJC-1, Schedule 2 for the details of these amounts.
8		
9	Q.	What are the reasons for the differences between the Company's proposed
10		and your recommended amount for accumulated depreciation reserve
11		variance?
12	Α.	The reasons are primarily attributable to different assumptions with respect to
13		average service lives and net salvage rates. My testimony, as noted above, as
14		compared to the Company's proposal, recommends slightly longer average
15		service lives and slightly increased net salvage rates (i.e. lower reserves) than
16		proposed.
17		
18	Q.	What is your recommendation for the amortization of Contributions in Aid
19		of Construction (CIAC)?
20	Α.	With respect to CIAC, I recommend a credit amortization of (\$32,213), no change
21		from the amount proposed. This amortization amount mirrors the depreciation
22		accrual rates that I'm recommending for Account 343 – Transmission &

⁸ See Attachment JJC-4, Shutt Depreciation Study, Table 5-1.

1		Distribution Mains. CIAC credit amortization amounts are calculated by
2		multiplying the test year cost basis for CIAC by the depreciation accrual rate for
3		Account 343. I'm recommending no change in depreciation accrual rates for
4		Account 343; therefore, no adjustment is required. Please refer to Attachment
5		JJC-1, Schedule 3 for the computation of proposed and recommended
6		amortization amounts.
7		
8	Q.	Please summarize your overall recommendation for depreciation and
9		amortization expense.
10	A.	Overall, my recommendation for depreciation and amortization expense is
11		\$826,387, a reduction of \$80,021 from the proposed amount of \$906,408. See,
12		Attachment JJC-1, Schedule 4.
13		My recommendation for depreciation expense is \$721,549, a reduction of \$44,721
14		from the proposed amount of \$766,270.
15		My recommendation for amortization of organization costs and other water source
16		plant is \$75,840, an increase of \$885 from the proposed amount of \$74,955.
17		My recommendation for Amortization of Depreciation Reserve Variances is
18		\$61,211, a reduction of \$36,185 from the amount proposed of \$97,396.
19		My recommendation for amortization of CIAC is \$32,213, the same amount as
20		proposed. See Attachment JJC-1, Schedule 4 for a summary of these amounts.
21		
22	Q.	Do you believe that your recommendation for depreciation and amortization
23		expense is reasonable?

ı	Α.	Yes, I believe my recommendation is reasonable. My recommendation adopts the
2		March 31, 2008 test year-end plant balances. Although average plant balances are
3		used for rate base purposes, my recommendation for depreciation expense adopts
4		the higher year-end plant balances.
5		In addition, my recommendation incorporates the issues addressed in the NHPUC
6		Audit Report.
7		Further, as noted above, the plant balances appear to be overstated at the end of
8		the test year as a result of the Company's practice of charging costs of removal to
9		the plant account rather than to the depreciation reserve account. Although this
10		practice may have overstated the proposed plant in service balance at March 31,
11		2008, I have not made any adjustments to reduce depreciation expense.
12		Finally, the Company proposes to increase negative net salvage rates for certain
13		accounts (i.e. Wells & Springs, Diesel Pumping Equipment and Water Treatment)
14		due to estimated increases in cost of removal. However, the Company has not
15		segregated the cost of removal for the 1999-2008 time period; hence, there is
16		inadequate historical data to support the estimated increases in cost of removal.
17		In spite of inadequate supporting data, my recommendation provides for fifty
18		percent of the proposed increase in cost of removal for these accounts.
19		

20 Q. Does that complete your testimony?

A. Yes, it does, thank you.

Aquarion Water Company of New Hampshire Staff Recommended Depreciation and Amortization Expense

Schedule 1

		Plant Balance	Average		Depreciation		Plant Balance	Average		Depreciation		
Account		at 3/31/08	Service	Net Salvage	Accrual	Depreciation	at 3/31/08	Service	Net Salvage	Accrual	Depreciation	
Acaber	Account Description	Proposed	Live (ASL)	Rate	Rate	Expense	Recommend	Live (ASL)	Rate	Rate	Expense	Variance
	Source of Supply		•				1					
	(r) nonezinegro	. ;	0		%00.0					0.00%	,	
	Miscellaneous Intangible Plant	\$ 20,727	30		3.33%	5 691	\$ 20,727			0.00%		
_	Land and Land Rights (Supply)	\$ 460,591	0		0.00%		\$ 460.591			0.00%		
	Structures & improvements	\$ 611,459	40	•	2.75%	\$ 16,815	\$ 611,459			2.75%	\$ 16,815	
	Collecting & Impounding Reservoirs		0		%00:0			0		0.00%		
	Wells & Springs (2)	\$ 2,775,032	30	%01·	3.67%	\$ 101,751	\$ 2,591,248	30	-5%	3 50%	\$ 90,694	
	Supply Mains	\$ 182,935	100		1.20%	\$ 2,195	\$ 182,935		•	1.20%	\$ 2,195	
317 (Other Water Source Plant (1)		0		0.00%		\$	0		0.00%	٠.	
	Total Source of Supply	\$ 4050,744				\$ 121,452	\$ 3,866,960				\$ 109,704	
	Pumping Plant											
320	Land & Land Rights (Pumping)	\$ 200	0	%0	%000		\$ 709		% 0	0.00%		
	Structures & Improvements	\$ 1,275,322	40	-10%	2.75%	\$ 35.071	\$ 1,275,322		.10%	2.75%	35.071	
	Electric Pumping Equipment, Booster	\$ 880,695	35	.50%	3.43%	\$ 30,195	\$ 880,695	35	.20%	3.43%	30 195	
	Diesel Pumping Equipment	\$ 32.297	98	.10%	3.67%	1 184	792 25		30.	3.60%	1130	
	Other Pumoing Fouriement	34 764	25	701	4 40 %	02.5	24 764		805	4 40 4	2530	
	Total Dissolve Diegi	707 707	3	2	2	200	100000	Ì	2	4 40 70	330	
	Cold Fumping Flant	191,577,7				086.70	\$ 7.723,787				26'79	
	vester treatment riant		•	ě			•	•				
	Land & Land Kignis (Treatment)		0	% 0	0.00%			0	%0	0.00		
331	Structures & Improvements	\$ 176,164	9	-10%	2.75%	\$ 4,845	\$ 176,164	0	-10%	2 75%	\$ 4,845	
	Water Treatment	\$ 282,411	8	%ot-	3.67%	\$ 10,355	\$ 282,411	æ	%.C-	3.50%	5 9,884	
	Total Water Treatment Plant	\$ 458,575				15.200	\$ 458,575				\$ 14,729	
	Transmission & Distribution Plant											
340	Land & Land Rights (T&D)	\$ 154,202	0	%0	0.00%		\$ 154,202	0		%000		
	Structures & Improvements	\$ 289,440	40	.10%	2.75%	7,960	\$ 289,440	4	-10%	2.75%	2,960	
_	Distribution Reservoirs & Standpipes	1,272,926	8	-50%	2.00%	\$ 25,459	\$ 1,272,926			2.00%	\$ 25,459	
343	Transmission & Distribution Mains (2)	\$ 13,946,093	8	-50%	1.20%	\$ 167,353	\$ 13,803,299			1.20%	\$ 165,640	
	Services	\$ 4,464,538	65	-50%	184%	\$ 81,990	\$ 4,464,538	65		1.85%	\$ 82,422	
_	Meters	\$ 740,054	25	5%	3.80%	\$ 28,122	\$ 740,054	25		3.80%	\$ 28,122	
_	Meter Installation	\$ 243,519	25	2%	3 80%	\$ 9.254	\$ 243,519			3 80%	\$ 9,254	
	Hydrants	\$ 592,797	ሜ	-50%	2.40%	5 14,227	\$ 592,757			2.40%	\$ 14,227	
349 (Other T&D Plant	\$ 98,704	20	%0	2 00%	4.935	\$ 98,704			2,00%	\$ 4,935	
	Total Transmission & Distribution Plant	\$ 21,802,273				\$ 339,290	\$ 21,659,479				\$ 338,018	
-	General Plant											
_	Land & Land Rights (General)	•	0	%0	0.00%		•	0	%0	0.00%		
	Structures & Improvements	\$ 590.808	35	-10%	3.14%	18,568	\$ 590,808		.10%	2 75%	\$ 16,247	
_	Office Furniture & Equipment	\$ 80,398	13	% 0	7.69%	6,184	\$ 80,398		3%	7.46%	5,999	
391H/S	Computer Hardware	\$ 568,558	9	%0	20.00%	\$ 113,712	\$ 568,558		%0	20 00%	\$ 113,712	
	Transportation Equipment	\$ 292,784	80	10%	11.25%	32.938	\$ 292,784		10%	11.25%	\$ 32,938	
	Slores Equipment	169'11	20	% 0	5.00%	\$ 895	17,891		% 0	200%	\$ 895	
7	Tools, Shop & Garage Equipment	\$ 142.771	50	%0	5.00%	\$ 7,139	\$ 142,771		% O	5.00%	5 7,139	
	Laboratory Equipment	\$ 23,907	51	%0	6.57%	\$ 1,594	\$ 23,907		% 0	6.67%	1,594	
	Power Operated Equipment	\$ 162,947	15	%0	6.67%	\$ 10,863	\$ 162,947		% 0	6.67%	\$ 10,863	
397	Communications Eq. (non-tele)	\$ 286,606	10	%0	10.00%	\$ 28,661	\$ 286,606	10	%0	10.00%	fully dep.	
	Miscellaneous Equipment	\$ 26,780	15	%0	6.67%	1,785	\$ 26,780		%0	6.67%	\$ 1,785	
	Total General Plant					\$ 222,338	\$ 2,193,450				121,171	
	Total Depreciation	\$ 30.728,829				\$ 766,270	\$ 30,402,251				\$ 721,549	\$ (44,721)
	Reconciliation to Total Utility Plant		Term					P.				
_		S 17.700	20				\$ 17,700	20			\$ 885	
	Plus Account 317	1,499,100	50		•	\$ 74,955	\$ 1,499,100			•	\$ 74,955	
	Total Amortization	\$ 1,516,800				74,955	\$ 1,516,800				75.840	\$ 885

(1) Staff recommendation reflects 20-year amonization of Organization Costs (Account 301) and Other Water Source Plant (Account 317) (2) Incorporates recuctions to plant balances in accordance with Staff Audit Report as follows:

Account 314 Account 343 Total

	C 2 77E 032	١.	200 270 61	-	12 54 F 002 F 12 72 12 F
Laborer	750'6 / / 7 6	•	3,340,083	^	671,127,01
	\$ (26,891)	v	(142,794)	s	(169,685)
,	\$ (156,893)	n		S	(156.893)
Sub-Total Audit Issues	\$ (183,784)	s	(142,794)	s	(326,578)
Staff Recommended	\$ 2,591,248	s	13,803,299	~	16.394.547

Aquarion Water Company of New Hampshire Staff Recommended Amortization of Depreciation Reserve Variance

							endation					
Account	=	Plant Balance	Proposed Depreciation	Recommended Depreciation	Percent Adjustme∩t	Proposed Theoretical	Recommend Theoretical	Book Reserve	Book (Over)/ Under Staff	10-Year		
Number	r Account Description	At 3/31/08	Acc. Rates	Acc. Rates	Factor	Reserve	Reserve	At 3/31/09	Theor, Reserve	Amortization	Proposed	Variance
	Source of Strong	(5)										
301	Organization		%00.0	%00.0	0.00%		,	,	٠,			
303	Miscellaneous Intangible Plant	\$ 20.727	3.33%	0.00%	%000	\$ 3.624		\$ 2.073	\$ (2.073)	\$ (207)		
310	Land and Land Rights (Supply)	\$ 460,591	%00 0	%000	%00.0					•		
311	Structures & Improvements	\$ 611,459	2.75%	2 75%	100.00%	\$ 82.740	\$ 82.740	\$ 25.217	\$ 57.523	5.752		
312	Collecting & Impounding Reservoirs		%0000	%00.0	%000							
314	Wells & Sounds	\$ 2775.032	3.67%	3.50%	95.45%	\$ 1044 100	\$ 996 641	\$ 465.652	530 989	53 099		
316	Supply Maios	182 935	120%	1 20%	100 00%	68.879	\$ 68.87q	59 704	\$ 9175	618		
212	Other Water Source Plant	202,200	%000	7000	7,000	285.381		6.55	(64.354)	(6.435)		
	Total Source of Supply	\$ 4,050,744				\$ 1.484.724	\$ 1,148,260	\$ 617,000	\$ 531,260	\$ 53.126		
	Pumping Plant											
320	Land & Land Rights (Pumping)	\$ 709	%00.0	%000	%000							
321	Sinclures & Improvements	\$ 1,275,322	2 7 5%	2 75%	100.00%	\$ 488,486	\$ 488,486	\$ 373,821	\$ 114,665	\$ 11,467		
325	Electric Pumping Equipment, Booster	\$ 880,695	3.43%	3.43%	100 00%	\$ 389.514	\$ 389,514	\$ 515.790	\$ (126,276)	\$ (12,628)		
326	Diesel Pumping Foundment	32 297	3 67%	3.50%	95.45%	32 297	30.829	\$ 22.582	S 8 247	825		
328	Other Pumping Fouriered		4 40%	4 40%	100 00%	29 160	\$ 29 160	\$ 25,773	3.387	339		
	Total Pumona Plant	\$ 2223.787		%000	%00 O	\$ 939.457	\$ 937.989	\$ 937,966	\$ 23	2		
										1		
	Water Treatment Plant											
330	Land & Land Rights (Treatment)		%00.0	% 00 0	0.00%			°				
331	Structures & Improvements	\$ 176.164	2.75%	275%	100.00%	\$ 34,403	\$ 34,403	\$ 30,299	\$ 4,104	\$ 410		
332	Water Treatment	\$ 282,411	3.67%	3.50%	95.45%	\$ 131,519	\$ 125,541	\$ 195,265	\$ (89,724)	\$ (6,972)		
	Total Water Treatment Plant	\$ 458,575		%00.0		\$ 165,922	\$ 159,944	\$ 225,564	\$ (65,620)	\$ (6,562)		
	Transmission & Distribution Plant											
340	Land & Land Rights (T&D)	\$ 154,202	%000	00:00	0.00%			•				
8	Structures & Improvements	\$ 289,440	2 75%	275%	100.00%	\$ 44,771	\$ 44,771	\$ 136,815	\$ (92,044)	\$ (9,204)		
342	Distribution Reservoirs & Standoloes	\$ 1.272.926	2 00%	2.00%	100.00%	\$ 701225	\$ 701.225	\$ 672 993	\$ 28.232	\$ 2.823		
343	Transmission & Distribution Mains	\$ 13.946.093	1 20%	1 20%	100.00%	\$ 2.649.725	\$ 2.649.725	\$ 2687.999	\$ (38.274)	\$ (3.827)		
345	Services	\$ 4.464.538	184%	1.85%	100.53%	\$ 1,260,585	\$ 1.267.237	\$ 1,400.931	\$ (133,694)	\$ (13.369)		
346	Melors	740.054	3.80%	3 80%	400 00%	304460	304460	\$ 293 720	10.740	\$ 1074		
347	Motor loctaliation	5 243 519	3 80%	3.80%	100 00%	\$ 100.184	\$ 100 184	\$ 17.923	\$ 82.261	8 2 26		
2, 2,	TAGOS.	767 265	2 40%	2 40%	100 00%	\$ 289.593	\$ 289.593	\$ 220.362	69 231	6.6923		
349	Other T&D Plant	\$ 98.704	2.00%	2 00%	100.00%	\$ 16532	\$ 16.532	3.697	\$ 12.835	1.284		
	Total Transmission & Distribution Plant	\$ 21,802,273		%00.0	%00.0	\$ 5,367.075	\$ 5,373,727	\$ 5,434,440	\$ (60,713)	\$ (6,071)		
	Conerat Plant	•	4						•			
380	Land & Land Rights (General)		%000	%00.0	%00.0			, !				
390	Structures & Improvements	\$ 590,808	3.14%	2.75%	87.50%	\$ 179,214	\$ 156,812	5 117,199	\$ 39,613	3,961		
391	Office Fumiture & Equipment	\$ 80,398	%69.7	7.45%	%00 /6	3 /3,116	\$ 70,923	\$ 12,314	98,508	2.861		
391H/S	Computer Hardward	\$ 568,558	20 00%	20 00%	100.00%	\$ 559.740	\$ 559,740	\$ 443,827	\$ 115,513	\$ 11,591		
392	Transportation Equipment	\$ 292,784	11 25%	11.25%	100.00%	\$ 175,349	\$ 175,349	\$ 148,330	\$ 27,019	\$ 2,702		
393	Stores Equipment	\$ 17,891	2.00%	2.00%	100.00%	\$ 4.215	\$ 4,215	\$ 2,018	\$ 2,197	\$ 220		
394	Tools, Shop & Garage Equipment	\$ 142,771	2 00%	2.00%	100 00%	\$ 62,885	\$ 82,885	\$ 68,542	\$ 14,343	\$ 1,434		
395	Laboratory Equipment	\$ 23,907	%19	6.67%	100.00%	\$ 19,169	\$ 19,169	\$ 16,903	\$ 2.266	\$ 227		
396	Power Operated Equipment	\$ 162,947	% 29 9	6.67%	100.00%	\$ 58,154	\$ 58,154	\$ 41,384	\$ 16,770	\$ 1,677		
397	Communications Eq. (non-tele)	\$ 286,606	. 10.00%	10 00%	100.00%	\$ 260,810	\$ 260,810	\$ 329,642	\$ (68,832)	\$ (6,883)		
398	Miscellaneous Equipment	\$ 26,780	% 29 9	%29.9	100.00%	\$ 14,615	\$ 14,615	\$ 15,352	\$ (737)	\$ (74)		
	Total General Plant	\$ 2,193,450				\$ 1,427,267	\$ 1,402,672	\$ 1.195,511	\$ 207,161	\$ 20,716		
	i							•				
	Total Utility Plant	\$ 30,728,829			"	\$ 9,384,445	\$ 9,022,592	\$ 8,410,481	\$ 612,111	\$ 61,211	\$ 97,396	\$ (36,185)
											I	

(1) Staff recommendation reflects reduction to plant balances in accordance with Staff audit report - i.e. Audit Issue #1 and Audit Issue #3.

ATTACHMENT JJC-1

Aquarion Water Company of New Hampshire Staff Recommended CIAC Amortization			Staff	
	<u> </u>	Proposed Rates (1)) 	Variance
Balance at December 31, 2008	₩	(2,690,300) \$	\$ (2,690,300)	_
Depreciation Accrual Rate (Account 343-Transmission & Distribution Mains)		1.20%	1.20%	.0
CIAC Amortization	φ.	(32,213) \$	\$ (32,213) \$	- \$ (
footnotes:				
(1) Derivation of 1.20% Amortization Rate: CIAC Balance \$ 2,690,300	90,300			
Prior Amortization \$ (36,495) Pro-forma per Filing (at Sch 1B) \$ 4.282				
\$ (32,213) \$	(32,213)			
CIAC Amortization Rate (T&D Mains, Account 343)	-1.20%			

Aquarion Water Company of New Hampshire

Aquarion Water Company of New Hampshire						Schedule 4
				Staff		
	Prop	Proposed Rates Recommended	R BC	papuamuo		Variance
Depreciation	↔	766,270 \$	↔	721,549 \$	↔	(44,721)
Amortization of Organization and Other Water Source Plant	s s	74,955	€9	75,840	↔	882
Amortization of Depreciation Reserve Variance	69	97,396	€9	61,211 \$	€9	(36,185)
Amortization of CIAC	ક્ક	(32,213) \$	\$	(32,213) \$	€>	1
Sub-Total Amortization	s	140,138 \$	\$	104,838 \$	€\$	(35,300)
Total Depreciation and Amortization	s s	906,408 \$	ક્ક	826,387 \$	ક્ક	(80,021)

Audit Issue #1

Plant Additions

Background

Audit selected eight specific work orders, chosen from a listing of workorders in excess of \$50,000 since April 1, 2005.

Exception

Review of the documentation provided by the Company supported test year balances less than what was reported as used and useful at the end of the test year. The Company noted that most of the variances were the result of "accruals that did not materialize"

The specific variances noted, that is, invoices for goods and services received or provided after the test year are:

Well Capacity Evaluation \$26,580 New Source Investigation \$311 (should have been expensed in 2005) Highland Ave/Ocean Blvd. Main Design \$8,523 Mill Rd. Water Main \$134,271

Recommendation

The plant in service reported in the filing is overstated by \$169,685.

Company Exit Audit Comment

The Company agrees with Staff's findings with the following clarifications/exceptions:

- The largest variance was for the Mill Road Water Main replacement project.
 The March 2008 figures included accrued costs which did in fact materialize later in 2008. The cost of this project per the February 2009 CPR was \$895,437.
- 2. The Company computes 03/31/2008 costs for the Mill Road Water Main to be \$708,511. The variance identified by staff is attributable to \$52,871 of costs related to paving costs. These costs were invoiced by Pike Industries in March 2008. The overstatement as per Staff should be reduced to \$116,814.

Staff's Exit Audit Comment:

Audit concurs that the costs in total as of 2009 were those provided, however the reader is reminded that the test year ended on March 31, 2008.

Further, a notification dated April 3, 2008, from the Town of North Hampton, based on a revised cost estimate provide by Pike Industries. That project summary was in fact dated March 24, 2008, and reflected a total cost of \$105,742 with an estimated completion date of <u>December 1, 2008</u>.

Audit Issue #3

Retirements

Background

Retirements reported during the test year amount to \$129,526.

Exception

Retirements which should have been posted to the general ledger and noted in the filing should have included:

Mill Road Standpipe	\$74,075 account 101342
4,800' Mill Road Mains	\$73,621 account 101343
700' Ocean Blvd. Mains	\$ 9,197 account 101343
Well #5	\$ 4,391 account 101314

Recommendation

An adjustment needs to be made to the filing crediting the accounts noted and debiting Accumulated Depreciation 111001.

The related depreciation expense was calculated to be an overstatement (using the $\frac{1}{2}$ year convention) in the amount of \$1,293. See Audit Issue #5.

Company Exit Audit Comment

The Company agrees with Staff's recommendations with one exception. The Company incorrectly affirmed that retirements associated with Well #5 should have been made in the test year. Upon further investigation, this is incorrect as Well #5 and the new Replacement Well #5A are both currently in service. The Well #5 retirement should not be recorded at this time. All other retirements were recorded subsequent to the close of the test year.

Staff's Exit Audit Comment:

Audit concurs with the revised retirement as adjusted for Well #5A.

ATTACHMENT JJC-3

AQUARION WATER COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

DW 08-098

Aquarion Water Company's Responses to Staff Data Requests—Set 2

Data Request Received: March 5, 2009

Date of Response: March 26, 2009

Request No.: Staff 2-1

Witness: J. Shutt

REQUEST:

Reference Depreciation Study, page 3-2: The current forecast for net salvage percents appears to reflect company-specific actual data for the years 1993 through 1998. Please explain why company-specific actual data for the years subsequent to 1998 was not

used in the forecast of net salvage.

RESPONSE: Due to transitions in ownership and accounting systems the company does not have company-specific data available for the years subsequent to 1998. It appears that since the time of the acquisition the company has been recording costs of retirement and removal as a part of the new asset. Since this practice was uncovered during the course of my depreciation study, the company is developing and implementing new procedures to capture and record these costs as a cost of retirement.

ATTACHMENT JJC-3

AQUARION WATER COMPANY OF NEW HAMPSHIRE

DW 08-098

Aquarion Water Company's Responses to Staff Data Requests -- Set 2

Data Request Received: March 5, 2009

Date of Response: March 26, 2009

Request No.: Staff 2-2

Witness: J. Shutt

REQUEST:

Reference Depreciation Study, page 3-2: Please explain how the Company accounts for gross salvage and cost of removal for plant retired during the 1999 to 2008 time period. Please include in your response a schedule that summarizes the original cost, the gross salvage and the cost of removal by plant account for the plant retired during the 1999

through 2008 time period.

RESPONSE: As indicated in my response to Staff 2-1, it was discovered during the course of my depreciation study that the company was not segregating gross salvage and the cost of removal. Therefore, it is not possible to provide the requested schedule. The company is implementing procedures that will segregate gross salvage and cost of removal going forward.

Aduation Water Company of New Hampshire Estimated Survivor Curve, Net Salvage, Original Cost, Calculated Annual and Accrued Depreciation As Applied to Plant Investment as of March 31,

		Survivo	Survivor Curve	Net	Total Plant	Calculated Annual	Annual	Calculated	Book Depreciation		
	Account Description	Curve		Salavage Percent	Balance 03/31/08	Accrual	Accrual Rate	Accrued Deprectation	Reserve 03/31/08	Reserve	Annuat Amortization
				(%)	(\$)	(\$)	8	<u>(\$)</u>	(§)	(\$)	(\$)
Solo	<u>Source of Supply Plant</u> Organization				17.700						
Misc	Miscellaneous Inlangible Plant	SO	30	%0	20,727	169	3.33%	3,624	2,073	1,551	155
Strike	Land & Land Rights (Supply) Structures & Improvements	č	ç	.10%	460,591	16.815	2.75%	82 740	25.217	57.523	5.752
Cole	Collecting & Impounding Reservoirs	2	?	2							;
Wells	Wells & Springs	8	30	-10%	2,775,032	101,751	3.67%	1,044,100	465,652	578,448	57,845
Supp	Supply Mains	R3	100	-20%	182,935	2,195	1.20%	68,879	59,704	9,175	917
S S	Other Water Source Plant	SS	20	%0	1,499,100	74,955	2.00%	1 484 724	64,354	221 027	22,103
Pum	Pumping Plant				200					-	
Land	Land & Land Rights (Pumping)				502						:
Struct	Structures & Improvements	R2	40	.10%	1,275,322	35,071	2.75%	488,486	373,821	114,665	11,467
Elect	Electric Pumping Equipment, Booster	æ	35	-50%	880,695	30,195	3.43%	389,514	515,790	(126,276)	(12,628)
Diese	Diesel Pumping Equipment	2	30	-10%	32,297	1.184	3.67%	32,297	22,582	9,715	972
Othe	Other Pumping Equipment	<u>R</u>	52	-10%	34,764	1,530	4.40%	29,160	25,773	3,387	339
					2,223,786	67,980		939,456	937,866	1,490	149
287	Water Treatment Plant and & Land Rights (Treatment)										
Struct	Structures & Improvements	R5	40	-10%	176,164	4,845	2.75%	34,403	30,299	4,104	410
Water	Water Treatment Equipment	85	30	-10%	282,411	10,355	3.67%	131,519	195,265	(63,746)	(6,375)
					458,575	15,200		165,922	225,564	(59,642)	(2.964)
Tran	Transmission & Distribution Plant										
Lad	Land & Land Rights (T & D)	č	,	ì	154,202	7,060	2 756	****	126 915	(870 00)	(0.204)
200	Structures & Improvements	2 2	5 6	%0L-	1 272 028	75.450	2.00%	701 225	672 003	28,044	2,823
Trisin Trisin	Distribution Reservoirs & Standpipes	2 6	8 5	202	026,212,1	167,353	20%	2 649 725	2687 999	(38.274)	(3.827)
Single	SHOT & DISHIDUGU	2 6	3 4	%0% %0%	4 464 538	100 18	1 85%	1 260 585	1 400 931	(140.346)	(14 035)
Molecus	Sar	2 4	3 4	4 07-	740.054	28 122	2,00°,	304 460	293 720	10.740	1074
Materia	Meter Installation	2 6	3 %	* a'	243 519	95.02	2 80 %	100 184	17 923	82.261	8.226
Hydranfe	Historia (C)	8	3 6	, c	592.797	14,227	2.40%	289,593	220,362	69,231	6,923
Ö	Other T. & D. Plant	S	20	%0	98,704	4,935	5.00%	16,532	3,697	12,835	1,283
		5	ł	;	21,802,273	339,300		5,367,075	5,434,440	(67,365)	(6,736)
Gene	General Plant										
	Structures & Improvements	ă	35	%01-	590.808	18.568	3.14%	179.214	117,199	62.015	6,202
Office	Office Furniture & Engineent	2	5 5	%0	80,398	6.184	7.69%	73,116	12,314	60,802	6,080
	Computer Hardware	S	'	%0	568,558	113.712	20.00%	559,740	443,827	115,913	11,591
Trans	Cransportation Equipment	95	•	10%	292,784	32.938	11.25%	175,349	148,330	27,019	2,702
Store	Stores Foundary	S	50	%0	17,891	895	5.00%	4,215	2,018	2,197	220
5 5	Took Shon & Garage Folipment	8 6	2 2	%	142.771	7.139	2,00%	62,885	68,542	14,343	1,434
3 4	aborator Commont	3 0	3 +	2 %	23 907	1.594	6.67%	19,169	16.903	2.268	727
0	Downer Operated Formington	2 6	, L	8 %	162 947	10.863	6.67%	58.154	41,384	16,770	1,677
	Communications Conjugate (populatenbox		2 \$	2 %	286 606	28 661	10.00%	260.810	329.642	(68,832)	(6,883)
	nuncations Equipment (non-telephon		2 ;	8 8	26,000	100,02	8 20.01	14 645	45 352	(737)	(74)
MISCE	Miscellaneous Equipment) ,	ū	%0	2.193.452	222,339	0.07 %	1,427,268	1,195,511	231,755	23,176
Tota	Total Utility Plant				32,245,628	841,227	2.61%	9,384,444	8,410,481	973,963	97,396

Annual Reserve Deficiency Amortization:

92,396

Proposed Depreciation Expense: 938,623